



Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing

Evaluation of validity and clinical utility

Indication criteria for disease: Mucopolysaccharidosis type VI

1. General information on authorship

Name and address of institution:

Name: University Medicine, University Mainz,

Center of Child and Adolescent Medicine

Address: Langenbeckstr. 1

Postcode: 55131 City: Mainz

Tel.: +49 6131 17 2557 Fax: +49 6131 17 6693

E-mail: thomas@kinder.klinik.uni-mainz.de

Internet: http://www.klinik.uni-mainz.de/index.php?id=2525

Head of the institution:

Name: *Prof. Dr. F. Zepp*Tel.: +49 6131 17 7325
Fax: +49 6131 17 3918

E-mail: thomas@kinder.klinik.uni-mainz.de

Author of this text, date:

Name: *Prof. Dr. Michael Beck* Tel.: +49 6131 17 2398 Fax: +49 6131 17 5672

E-mail: beck@kinder.klinik.uni-mainz.de

Date: 25.03.2009

Reviewer, validation date:

Name: *Prof. Dr. Andreas Gal* Tel.: +49 40 7410 52120 Fax: +49 40 7410 55138

E-mail: *gal@uke.de* Date: 25.03.2009

Translator, translation date:

Name: Prof. Dr. Ulrich Langenbeck E-mail.: Ulrich.Langenbeck@gmx.net

Date: 12.07.2009

Re-editor, date:

Name: Tel.: Fax: E-mail: Date:

german society of human genetics www.gfhev.de

Ad hoc Committee "Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing"

Ad hoc-Kommission "Indikationskriterien für genetische Diagnostik"

Chairman of the Committee

Prof. Dr. med. Jörg Schmidtke, Institute of Human Genetics Hannover Medical School Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1 30625 Hannover Tel. 0049 (0)511-532 6538 Fax 0049 (0)511 532 5865 schmidtke.joerg@mh-hannover.de

Members of the Committee

Prof. Dr. med. Tiemo Grimm Prof. Dr. med. André Reis Prof. Dr. med. Eberhard Schwinger Prof. Dr. med. Peter Wieacker Prof. Dr. med. Klaus Zerres Prof. Dr. med. Johannes Zschocke

gfh Council (§26 BGB)

Prof. Dr. med. André Reis, Erlangen Prof. Dr. med. Olaf Riess, Tübingen Prof. Dr. med. Evelin Schröck, Dresden

qfh Office

Dr. rer. biol. hum. Christine Scholz Inselkammerstr. 5 82008 München-Unterhaching Tel. 0049 (0)89-61 45 69 59 Fax 0049 (0)89-55 02 78 56 organisation@gfhev.de

Banking account

Deutsche Apotheker- und Ärztebank Konto Nr. 0 006 456 030 BLZ 300 606 01 IBAN DE68 3006 0601 0006 4560 30

BIC DAAEDEDD

register of associations Munich

VR 12341

Authorized by gfh Ad hoc Committee "Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing"

Date: 16.06.2009

Updated by Date:



2. Disease characteristics

2.1 Name of the Disease (Synonyms):
Mucopolysaccharidosis type VI
(MPS type VI, MPS6, Maroteaux-Lamy disease,

- 2.2 OMIM# of the Disease: 253200
- 2.3 Name of the Analysed Genes or DNA/Chromosome Segments: arylsulfatase B (ARSB, 4S)
- 2.4 OMIM# of the Gene(s): 611542

2.5 Mutational Spectrum:

Majority point mutations (~80%); ca. 20% smaller rearrangements (<20 nucleotides); both types spread over the 8 Exons.

2.6 Analytical Methods: Bidirectional sequencing

2.7 Analytical Validation

Bidirectional sequencing; control of results by parallel use of alternative molecular genetic methods (e.g. restriction analysis, ASO-PCR etc.); simultaneous analysis of family members (as positive and negative controls); comparison with data bases and literature; qualitty control through sharing samples.

- 2.8 Estimated Frequency of the Disease in Germany (Incidence at birth ("birth prevalence") or population prevalence): 0.23 per 100,000 births
- 2.9 If applicable, prevalence in the ethnic group of investigated person:

2.10 Diagnostic Setting:

	Yes.	No.
A. (Differential) diagnostics		\boxtimes
B. Predictive Testing		
C. Risk assessment in Relatives		
D. Prenatal		

Comment:

ad B: The earlier an enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) is started, the better the results of treatment appear to be. For this reason, also pre-symptomatic DNA diagnostics may be considered.



3. Test characteristics

		genotype or disease	
		present	absent
test	pos.	Α	В
	neg.	С	D

A: true positives
B: false positives
C: false negatives
B: false positives
D: true negatives

sensitivity:

specificity:
D/(D+B)

pos. predict. value:
neg. predict. value:
D/(C+D)

3.1 Analytical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the genotype is present) almost 100%

3.2 Analytical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the genotype is not present) almost 100%

3.3 Clinical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the disease is present)

The clinical sensitivity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

practically 100%

3.4 Clinical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the disease is not present)

The clinical specificity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

almost 100%

3.5 Positive clinical predictive value

(life time risk to develop the disease if the test is positive). almost 100%

3.6 Negative clinical predictive value

(Probability not to develop the disease if the test is negative). Assume an increased risk based on family history for a non-affected person. Allelic and locus heterogeneity may need to be considered.

Index case in that family had been tested: *almost 100%*

Index case in that family had not been tested: *almost 100%*

© German Society of Human Genetics (gfh)

gfh

4. Clinical Utility

Yes, Similar Colinically. clinically.		
No.		
Yes, Similar Colinically. clinically.	4.1.1 Can a diag	nosis be made other than through a genetic test?
analysis is required for diagnosing heterozygosity. Before an enzyme replacement therapy is initiated, the diagnosis should be verified in all patients by detection of the causal mutation. 4.1.2 Describe the burden of alternative diagnostic methods to the patient small (blood drawing) 4.1.3 How is the cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods to be judged? The results of an enzyme assay, as a rule, are available within 7 days. The biochemical diagnosis presently costs ~30 Euro, significantly less than a molecular genetic diagnosis. 4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test? No. Yes. Therapy (please describe)	Yes, Sinically clinically imaging. endosco biochemical electroph	py.
4.1.3 How is the cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods to be judged? The results of an enzyme assay, as a rule, are available within 7 days. The biochemical diagnosis presently costs ~30 Euro, significantly less than a molecular genetic diagnosis. 4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test? No. Therapy (please describe)	analysis is req replacement the	uired for diagnosing heterozygosity. Before an enzyme rapy is initiated, the diagnosis should be verified in all patients
indged? The results of an enzyme assay, as a rule, are available within 7 days. The biochemical diagnosis presently costs ~30 Euro, significantly less than a molecular genetic diagnosis. 4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test? No. Yes. Therapy (please describe)		
The results of an enzyme assay, as a rule, are available within 7 days. The biochemical diagnosis presently costs ~30 Euro, significantly less than a molecular genetic diagnosis. 4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test? No. Yes. Therapy (please describe)		cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods to be
No. ⊠ Yes. □ Therapy (please describe)	The results of all biochemical diag	gnosis presently costs ~30 Euro, significantly less than a
Yes. Therapy (please describe)	4.1.4 Will diseas	e management be influenced by the result of a genetic test?
Therapy (please describe)	No.	
Management (please describe)	Prognosi	is (please describe)



4.2 Predictive Setting: The tested person is clinically unaffected but carries an increased risk based on family history

(To be answered if in 2.10 "B" was marked)

4.2.1 Will the result of a genetic test influence lifestyle and prevention? Yes

If the test result is positive (please describe) *Start of enzyme replacement therapy.*

If the test result is negative (please describe)

An enzyme replacement therapy is not required.

4.2.2 Which options in view of lifestyle and prevention does a person at-risk have if no genetic test has been done (please describe)? biochemical diagnostics (assay of ARSB activity), regular clinical monitoring

4.3 Genetic risk assessment in family members of a diseased person (To be answered if in 2.10 "C" was marked)

4.3.1 Does the result of a genetic test resolve the genetic situation in that family?

Yes.

4.3.2 Can a genetic test in the index patient save genetic or other tests in family members?

No.

4.3.3 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a predictive test in a family member? Yes (see comment 2.10).

4.4 Prenatal diagnosis

(To be answered if in 2.10 "D" was marked)

4.4.1 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a prenatal diagnostic? Yes.

5. If applicable, further consequences of testing

Please assume that the result of a genetic test has no immediate medical consequences. Is there any evidence that a genetic test is nevertheless useful for the patient or his/her relatives? (Please describe) not applicable