



Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing

Evaluation of validity and clinical utility

Indication criteria for disease: Familial breast/ovary cancer [BRCA1/BRCA2]

1. General information on authorship

Name and address of institution:

Name: Institute of Human Genetics Address: University Hospital Münster

Postcode: *D-48149* City: *Münster*

Tel.: +49(0)251/83-55401 Fax: +49(0)251 83-55431

E-mail: wieacker@uni-muenster.de Internet: humangenetik@uni-muenster.de

Head of the institution:

Name: Prof. Dr. med. Peter Wieacker

Tel.: +49(0)251/83-55401 Fax: +49(0)251/83-55431

E-mail: wieacker@uni-muenster.de

Author of this text, date:

Name: Prof. Dr. med. P. Wieacker und Dr. B. Welling

Tel.: +49(0)251/83-55401 Fax: +49(0)251/83-55431 E-mail: wieacker@uni-muenter.de

Date: 22.08.2007

Reviewer, validation date:

Name: Prof. Dr. Alfons Meindl
Tel.: +49(0)89-4140-6750
Fax: +49(0)89-4140-7410
E-mail: alfons.meindl@lrz.tum.de

Date: 31.10.2008

Translator, translation date:

Name: Prof. Dr. Ulrich Langenbeck E-mail.: Ulrich.Langenbeck@gmx.net

Date: 29.08.2008

Re-editor, date:

Name: Tel.: Fax: E-mail: Date:

german society of human genetics www.gfhev.de

Ad hoc Committee "Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing"

Ad hoc-Kommission "Indikationskriterien für genetische Diagnostik"

Chairman of the Committee

Prof. Dr. med. Jörg Schmidtke, Institute of Human Genetics Hannover Medical School Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1 30625 Hannover Tel. 0049 (0)511-532 6538 Fax 0049 (0)511 532 5865 schmidtke.joerg@mh-hannover.de

Members of the Committee

Prof. Dr. med. Gabriele Gillessen-Kaesbach Prof. Dr. med. Tiemo Grimm Prof. Dr. med. André Reis Prof. Dr. med. Eberhard Schwinger Prof. Dr. med. Peter Wieacker

Prof. Dr. med. Klaus Zerres Prof. Dr. med. Johannes Zschocke

gfh Council (§26 BGB)

Prof. Dr. med. André Reis, Erlangen Prof. Dr. med. Olaf Riess, Tübingen Prof. Dr. med. Evelin Schröck, Dresden

gfh Office

Dipl.-Soz. Christine Scholz Inselkammerstr. 5 82008 München-Unterhaching Tel. 0049 (0)89-61 45 69 59 Fax 0049 (0)89-55 02 78 56 organisation@gfhev.de

Banking account

Postbank München Konto 231 394 805 BLZ 700 100 80

IBAN DE19 7001 0080 0231 3948 05

BIC PBNK DEFF

register of associations Munich

VR 12341

Authorized by gfh Ad hoc Committee "Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing"

Date: 16.04.2008



2. Disease characteristics

2.1 Name of the Disease (Synonyms): Familial breast/ovary cancer; Hereditary breast-ovarian cancer syndrome

2.2 OMIM# of the Disease: 114480

2.3 Name of the Analysed Genes or DNA/Chromosome Segments: BRCA1/BRCA2

According to present knowledge, a mutation analysis in the moderately penetrant genes CHEK2, PALB2, ATM or BRIP1 is commercially not feasible and can be offered within studies only. The same applies to genes with low-risk variants like FGFR2 or TNRC9.

2.4 OMIM# of the Gene(s): 113705 / 600185

2.5 Mutational Spectrum:

Point mutations, deletions and insertions of a few nucleotides, larger deletions

2.6 Analytical Methods:

DHPLC, DNA sequencing, MLPA, high resolution melting (Light Cycler)

2.7 Analytical Validation almost 100%

2.8 Estimated Frequency of the Disease in Germany (Incidence at birth ("birth prevalence") or population prevalence):

Monogenic inheritance of breast cancer in association with a BRCA1/2 mutation is estimated to occur in ca. 2.5% of the cases.

Most probably, 1 in about 250 women carries a mutation in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene.

2.9 If applicable, prevalence in the ethnic group of investigated person:

2.10 Diagnostic Settin	ıC	1
------------------------	----	---

	Yes.	No.
A. (Differential)diagnostics		
B. Predictive Testing		
C. Risk assessment in Relatives		
D. Prenatal		\boxtimes

Comment: 2.10A only applies in cases where a hereditary breast/ovary cancer is possible. Here, higher risks of new disease exist and specific histopathological anomalies may occur, e.g. in triple-negative tumors with BRCA1 mutations.



3. Test characteristics

		genotype or disease	
		present	absent
test	pos.	A	В
	neg.	С	D

A: true positives
B: false positives
C: false negatives
D: true negatives

sensitivity:

specificity:
D/(D+B)

pos. predict. value:
neg. predict. value:
D/(C+D)

3.1 Analytical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the genotype is present) approx. 90%

3.2 Analytical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the genotype is not present) almost 100%

3.3 Clinical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the disease is present)

The clinical sensitivity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

BRCA mutations are found in 50% of cases with clear-cut autosomal-dominant inheritance and at least four affected first-degree relatives or two first-degree relatives with both breast and ovary cancer. For different constellations the prevalence of mutations varies between 10 and 40%. These numbers, however, must be determined separately for each population.

3.4 Clinical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the disease is not present)

The clinical specificity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

almost 100%

3.5 Positive clinical predictive value

(life time risk to develop the disease if the test is positive).

BRCA1 mutation in a woman: 60-80% for breast and 40-55% for ovary cancer. BRCA2 mutation in a woman: 45-80% for breast and 10-20% for ovary cancer. BRCA2 mutation in a male: 6-7% for breast cancer.

Mutation carriers have an increased risk for associated tumors, like intestinal, prostate, pancreas and skin cancer, and leukemias.

3.6 Negative clinical predictive value

(Probability not to develop the disease if the test is negative).

Assume an increased risk based on family history for a non-affected person. Allelic and locus heterogeneity may need to be considered.

Index case in that family had been tested:

In familial cases, the constellation has to be taken into account. The remaining genetic risk and the life-time risk of breast cancer must be calculated with an appropriate software, e.g. Cyrillic 2.1.

Index case in that family had not been tested:

A predictive genetic test, as a rule, should only be performed when also an index patient is available for analysis.



4. Clinical Utility

	fferential)diagnosis: The tested be answered if in 2.10 "A" was n	
4.1.1 C	an a diagnosis be made other that	an through a genetic test?
No. Yes,	clinically. clinically. endoscopy. biochemistry. electrophysiology. other (please describe)	
4.1.2 D	escribe the burden of alternative	diagnostic methods to the patient
4.1.3 H udged		Iternative diagnostic methods to be
4.1.4 W	Vill disease management be influe	enced by the result of a genetic test?
No.		
Yes.	Therapy (please describe) Prognosis (please describe) Management (please describe)	When a mutation has been found, an intensive diagnostic screening program for possible secondary tumors (breast or ovary) or tumors in other organs is recommended. After they have completed their family planning, a prophylactic oophorectomy is offered to female carriers of a BRCA1 mutation and recommended to female carriers of a BRCA2 mutation.



4.2 Predictive Setting: The tested person is clinically unaffected but carries an increased risk based on family history

(To be answered if in 2.10 "B" was marked)

4.2.1 Will the result of a genetic test influence lifestyle and prevention? Yes.

If the test result is positive (please describe)

Intensive diagnostic screening program, perhaps prophylactic mastectomy or oophorectomy.

If the test result is negative (please describe) *Usual medical check-up.*

4.2.2 Which options in view of lifestyle and prevention does a person at-risk have if no genetic test has been done (please describe)? *Intensive diagnostic screening program.*

4.3 Genetic risk assessment in family members of a diseased person (To be answered if in 2.10 "C" was marked)

4.3.1 Does the result of a genetic test resolve the genetic situation in that family?

Yes, if a pathogenic mutation has been found.

4.3.2 Can a genetic test in the index patient save genetic or other tests in family members? Yes.

4.3.3 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a predictive test in a family member? Yes.

4.4 Prenatal diagnosis

(To be answered if in 2.10 "D" was marked)

4.4.1 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a prenatal diagnostic?

5. If applicable, further consequences of testing

Please assume that the result of a genetic test has no immediate medical consequences. Is there any evidence that a genetic test is nevertheless useful for the patient or his/her relatives? (Please describe)

Not applicable.