deutsche gesellschaft für humangenetik e.v.



Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing

Evaluation of validity and clinical utility

Indication criteria for disease: Angelman-Syndrome [UBE3A]

1. General information on authorship

Name and address of institution:

Name: Institute of Human Genetics, Medical University Lübeck

Address: Ratzeburger Allee 160

Postcode: *D-23538* City: *Lübeck*

Tel.: +49-451-500-2620 Fax: +49-451-500-4187

E-mail: marianne.schirr@uk-sh.de

Internet: www.humangenetik.mu-luebeck.de

Head of the institution:

Name: Prof. Dr. Gabriele Gillesen-Kaesbach

Tel.: +49-451-500-2620 Fax: +49-451-500-4187 E-mail: g.gillesen@uk-sh.de

Author of this text, date:

Name: Prof. Dr. Eberhard Schwinger

Tel.: +49-451-500-6055 Fax: +49-451-500-4187 E-mail: schwing@uni-luebeck.de

Date: 05.06.2007

Reviewer, validation date:

Name: Prof. Dr. Bernhard Horsthemke

Tel.: +49-201-723-4556 Fax: +49-201-723-5900

E-mail: bernhard.horsthemke@uni-due.de

Date: 16.07.2007

Translator, translation date:

Name: Prof. Dr. Ulrich Langenbeck E-mail.: Ulrich.Langenbeck@gmx.net

Date: 09.03.2008

Re-editor, date:

Name: Tel.: Fax: E-mail: Date:

german society of human genetics www.gfhev.de

Ad hoc Committee "Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing"

Ad hoc-Kommission "Indikationskriterien für genetische Diagnostik"

Chairman of the Committee

Prof. Dr. med. Jörg Schmidtke, Institute of Human Genetics Hannover Medical School Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1 30625 Hannover Tel. 0049 (0)511-532 6538 Fax 0049 (0)511 532 5865 schmidtke.joerg@mh-hannover.de

Members of the Committee

Prof. Dr. med. Gabriele Gillessen-Kaesbach Prof. Dr. med. Tiemo Grimm Prof. Dr. med. André Reis Prof. Dr. med. Eberhard Schwinger

Prof. Dr. med. Eberhard Schwinger Prof. Dr. med. Peter Wieacker Prof. Dr. med. Klaus Zerres Prof. Dr. med. Johannes Zschocke

gfh Council (§26 BGB)

Prof. Dr. med. André Reis, Erlangen Prof. Dr. med. Olaf Riess, Tübingen Prof. Dr. med. Evelin Schröck, Dresden

gfh Office

Dipl.-Soz. Christine Scholz Inselkammerstr. 5 82008 München-Unterhaching Tel. 0049 (0)89-61 45 69 59 Fax 0049 (0)89-55 02 78 56 organisation@gfhev.de

Banking account

Postbank München Konto 231 394 805 BLZ 700 100 80

IBAN DE19 7001 0080 0231 3948 05

BIC PBNK DEFF

register of associations Munich

VR 12341

 $\label{prop:committee} \textbf{Authorized by gfh Ad hoc Committee} \ \ \textbf{``Indication Criteria for Genetic Testing''}$

Date: 20.05.2008

Updated by Date:



2. Disease characteristics
2.1 Name of the Disease (Synonyms): Angelman syndrome
2.2 OMIM# of the Disease: 105830
2.3 Name of the Analysed Genes or DNA/Chromosome Segments: UBE3A / #15q11-q13
2.4 OMIM# of the Gene(s): 601623
2.5 Mutational Spectrum: 70% maternal deletion 15q11-q13 1% paternal uniparental disomy [upd(15)pat] 3% imprinting defect 15% mutations in UBE3A gene 10% other
2.6 Analytical Methods: methylation test, FISH, microsatellite analysis, MLPA; if methylation is normal > search for UBE3A mutations
2.7 Analytical Validation parallel analysis of positive and negative controls
2.8 Estimated Frequency of the Disease in Germany (Incidence at birth ("birth prevalence") or population prevalence): prevalence at birth 1:12,000-1:20,000
2.9 If applicable, prevalence in the ethnic group of investigated person: <i>not applicable</i>
2.10 Diagnostic Setting:
A. (Differential)diagnostics B. Predictive Testing C. Risk assessment in Relatives D. Prenatal

Comment: none



3. Test characteristics

		genotype or disease	
		present	absent
test	pos.	A	В
	neg.	O	D

3.1 Analytical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the genotype is present) practically 100%

3.2 Analytical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the genotype is not present) practically 100%

3.3 Clinical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the disease is present)

The clinical sensitivity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

85-90%

3.4 Clinical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the disease is not present)

The clinical specificity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

practically 100%

3.5 Positive clinical predictive value

(life time risk to develop the disease if the test is positive). *practically 100%*

3.6 Negative clinical predictive value

(Probability not to develop the disease if the test is negative). Assume an increased risk based on family history for a non-affected person. Allelic and locus heterogeneity may need to be considered.

Index case in that family had been tested: practically 100%

Index case in that family had not been tested: can only be clarified through analysis of the non-affected individual



4. Clinical Utility

4.1.1 Can a diagnosis be made other than through a genetic test? No.		ferential)diagnosis: The tested person ist clinically affected be answered if in 2.10 "A" was marked)
Yes, clinically. clinically. endoscopy. biochemistry. electrophysiology. other (please describe) 4.1.2 Describe the burden of alternative diagnostic methods to the patient 4.1.3 How ist the cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods to be udged? 4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test? No. Yes. Therapy (please describe) Prognosis (please describe)	4.1.1 C	an a diagnosis be made other than through a genetic test?
4.1.3 How ist the cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods to be sudged? 4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test? No. Yes. Therapy (please describe) Prognosis (please describe)		clinically. imaging. endoscopy. biochemistry. electrophysiology.
udged? 4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test? No. Yes. Therapy (please describe) Prognosis (please describe)	4.1.2 D	escribe the burden of alternative diagnostic methods to the patient
No. Yes. Therapy (please describe) Prognosis (please describe)		
Yes. Therapy (please describe) Prognosis (please describe)	4.1.4 W	/ill disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test?
Therapy (please describe) Prognosis (please describe)	No.	
	Yes.	Prognosis (please describe)



4.2 Predictive Setting: The tested person is clinically unaffected but carries an increased risk based on family history

(To be answered if in 2.10 "B" was marked)

4.2.1 Will the result of a genetic test influence lifestyle and prevention?

If the test result is positive (please describe)

If the test result is negative (please describe)

4.2.2 Which options in view of lifestyle and prevention does a person at-risk have if no genetic test has been done (please describe)?

4.3 Genetic risk assessment in family members of a diseased person (To be answered if in 2.10 "C" was marked)

4.3.1 Does the result of a genetic test resolve the genetic situation in that family?

No.

4.3.2 Can a genetic test in the index patient save genetic or other tests in family members?

see 4.3.1

4.3.3 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a predictive test in a family member? not applicable

4.4 Prenatal diagnosis

(To be answered if in 2.10 "D" was marked)

4.4.1 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a prenatal diagnostic?

Yes.

5. If applicable, further consequences of testing

Please assume that the result of a genetic test has no immediate medical consequences. Is there any evidence that a genetic test is nevertheless useful for the patient or his/her relatives? (Please describe)

Parents will finally know the cause of the disease.